

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/656,170	09/06/2000	Motoyasu Taguchi	071671/0155	8925		
22428	7590 08/23/2005		EXAMINER			
FOLEY AND LARDNER			LIU, SHU	LIU, SHUWANG		
SUITE 500 3000 K STREET NW			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
WASHINGT	ON, DC 20007	2634	2634			
		DATE MAILED: 08/23/2005				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

# Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/656,170	TAGUCHI, MOTOYASU		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Shuwang Liu	2634		

	Shuwang Liu	2634	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>04 August 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AI	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in (	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final reject	ion.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) a
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since
3. ☑ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	hut prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	021150
<ul> <li>(a)</li></ul>	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or			tne issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	16 and 41.33(a)).		
<ol> <li>The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13</li> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li> </ol>		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	will not be entered, or b)      will will will will will will will	l be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: <u>20 and 21</u> .			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4,6-15,17-19 and 22-27.</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	t before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affidav	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> it or other evidence is	t be entered s necessary and
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
11.  The request for reconsideration has been considered bu see attachment for response regarding claims 11 and 2.	t does NOT place the application ir 2-24.	condition for allowar	nce because:
2. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).			_
3. ☐ Other:		She way	i Zis
		Shuwang Liu Primary Examiner	

Art Unit: 2634

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The new requirement in the claims 1 and 12 were never before present and would require further consideration and/or search.

Application/Control Number: 09/656,170 Page 2

Art Unit: 2634

#### **Attachment**

### Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments regarding claims 11 and 22-24 filed 08/04/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The Examiner has thoroughly reviewed Applicant's arguments but firmly believes that the cited reference reasonably and properly meets the claimed limitation as rejected.

Applicant's argument – "in the device of Sodo '101, there is no circuit for judging an electric field level of each of the received signals that are received from a plurality of signal propagation channels, where operation of a control clock supply to a circuit system that making a correlation between a received signal ...., is suspended for a fixed, predetermined period of time."

Examiner's response – As disclosed in lines 25-29, the reference teaches a circuit for judging an electric field level of each of the received signals that are received from a plurality of signal propagation channels. Here, the "envelope value" reads on "an electric field level." Furthermore, the reference discloses a clock VC-TCXO 1 controlled to supply to the rake demodulator 4. Control means 43 controls turning on and off of power supplies to VC-TCXO 1, which supplies to the rake demodulator 4. It is inherent the rake demodulator includes a correlator, which performs a correlation between the received signal and a reference signal.

Application/Control Number: 09/656,170 Page 3

Art Unit: 2634

#### Conclusion

2. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shuwang Liu whose telephone number is 571 272-3036. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 7:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen Chin can be reached on 571 272-3056. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Shuwang Liu Primary Examiner

Sharangten

Art Unit 2634

August 22, 2005